#### **Discussion of**

#### Beyond Performance: The Financial Education Role of Robo-Advising

Rubin Hao, Conghui Hu, Xin Xu, and Yu Zhang

Jonathan Reuter Boston College & NBER

NBER Chinese Economy Working Group October 21, 2022

## Institutional Details

- Delegated robo-advisor portfolios (Wealthfront, Betterment, Vanguard PAS) historically targeted wealthy US clients
- In contrast, BangNiTou is available to ~ 1 billion users
  - Integrated into AliPay "super app"
  - BangNiTou (Ant & Vanguard) has > 1 million users
  - Minimum account size ~ \$143 → robo-advice for everyone
  - BangNiTou uses investor survey to recommend custom portfolio
  - Investor can adjust risk level, hold robo alongside SD portfolio, view robo holdings, and view educational materials

## Value-added from Robo-advisor?

As Chalmers & Reuter (2020) emphasize, value of advice depends on quality of advice relative to counterfactual behavior, one or both of which will vary with investor sophistication and institutional details

- **My paper:** Exploit time-series variation in availability of advice and compare treated portfolios and control portfolios among those with high predicted demand for advice (see also Linnainmaa, Melzer, Previtero, Foerster (2021))
- This Paper: Analyzes within-investor variation in robo and SD portfolios in months around adoption of robo
  - 1. Robo portfolio >> prior SD portfolio  $\rightarrow$  interesting but not surprising
  - 2. Educational spillover from robo to post-adoption SD  $\rightarrow$  **novel**

# Sample of 74,090 (Eventual) Adopters

12 Months Before Adoption

- 67,665 RMB on AliPay
- 58.4% Low risk (MMF + WMP)
- 39.7% MF
  - 28.8% Index funds

#### 8 Months After Adoption

- 113,087 RMB on AliPay
- 4,584 RMB robo-advised
- 161 seconds/month
- 43.5% Low risk
- 47.0% MF
  - 26.1% index funds
- 1. Average allocation to robo-advisor among adopters is low
- 2. Limited time on app should limit educational opportunities
- 3. We do not observe assets held outside app. Does jump in assets after adoption reflect migration onto app? Does it occur for non-adopters?

## Robo-Advisor Improves on Self-Directed? Yes!

#### • Similar to Rossi & Utkus (2021)

- (Average) BangNiTou portfolio earns higher Sharpe ratio than prior or current SD portfolio
- Benefits from higher returns and lower volatility



- If BangNiTou ~ efficient portfolio, not possible for SD to outperform ex ante, but less sophisticated should underperform by more
- Given % allocated to robo, net (robo + SD) improvement is modest
- Puzzle: Post-adoption SD Sharpe appears to improve before time 0

# Selection into Robo?

Selection into robo positively is correlated with past performance, use of index funds, fewer behavioral biases  $\rightarrow$  it would be interesting to see takeup rate by decile of sophistication index

Requiring trades skews sample away from investors who were not exposed to pop-up ads and do not adopt robo  $\rightarrow$  reduces strength of pop-up ads as instrument for adoption

|                        | Exposed Ad<br>& Adopt | Exposed Ad<br>& Not Adopt | Not Exposed Ad<br>& Adopt | Not Exposed Ad<br>& Not Adopt |  |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| "All" investors        | 12,114 (8.07%)        | 137,923                   | 5,930 (3.47%)             | 164,759                       |  |
| Trading before & after | 9,038 (8.87%)         | 92,882                    | 4,017 (6.40%)             | 58,744                        |  |
| Trading as % of "All"  | 74.61%                | 67.34%                    | 67.74%                    | 35.65%                        |  |

# Gains from Financial Education?

- Provocative claim that robo-advice can improve on counterfactual portfolio **and increase investor sophistication**
- Important question  $\rightarrow$  I have professional obligation to be skeptical
- In Chak, et al. (FCA WP), we provide experimental evidence that roboadvice improves debt repayment strategies... but find no evidence of learning... despite studying a relatively simple problem
- Two important caveats: Our experiment lacks repeated exposure to robo and focuses on hypothetical scenarios
- So, how should we think about learning from BangNiTou?

# Evidence of Learning?

• Once I see that SD [1,8] dominates SD [-12,-1], I want to know if this arises from mimicking the robo's holdings or following other advice

|                               |      | Self-Directed |        | BangNiTou  |         |         |
|-------------------------------|------|---------------|--------|------------|---------|---------|
|                               |      | Portfolios    |        | Portfolios |         |         |
|                               |      | [-12, -1]     | [1, 8] | [1, 8]     |         |         |
| Variables                     |      | (1)           | (2)    | (3)        | (3)–(1) | (3)–(2) |
| Style-adjusted Sharpe Ratio   | Mean | -0.049        | -0.012 | 0.041      | 0.090   | 0.052   |
|                               | SE   | 0.0005        | 0.0003 | 0.0008     | 0.0010  | 0.0009  |
| Style-adjusted Monthly return | Mean | -0.003        | 0.006  | 0.001      | 0.004   | -0.005  |
|                               | SE   | 0.0001        | 0.0002 | 0.0000     | 0.0001  | 0.0002  |
| Style-adjusted Volatility     | Mean | 0.004         | 0.010  | 0.003      | -0.001  | -0.007  |
|                               | SE   | 0.0000        | 0.0001 | 0.0000     | 0.0000  | 0.0001  |

• The fact post-adoption return and volatility both spike relative to robo raises questions about extent of mimicking

# Evidence of Learning? (2)

Authors' general strategy? Test for within-investor changes in SD portfolio concentration, fund correlation, framing bias, tail return overweighting, extrapolation bias, and availability bias

- Findings point to less concentrated portfolios post adoption and fewer behavioral biases
- How about including Sharpe ratio as LHS variable in each specification?
- T7 & T8: Baseline using matched sample
- T9: Interaction w/ "low soph." (above/below median based on each measure before adoption)
  - How about general sophistication index instead, based on prior SD?

# Evidence of Learning? (2)

- T11 & T12: 2SLS using exposure to pop-up ads as instrument
  - Is it possible to exploit continuous measure of exposure to pop-up ads?
- T13: Interaction w/ "exposure" (above/below median based on average time spent on robo-advisor app)
  - I like this strategy, but would like to see more than two categories
- T14: Interaction w/ "subscription time" (above/below median)
  - Time spent reviewing materials before adoption is distinct from exposure
- T15: Comparing investors who do and do not review quarterly portfolio statements
  - Very cool use of data from the app... but effects are economically small

#### More on the Mechanism?

- I believe evidence SD portfolios are changing but want more direct evidence of increases in financial literacy (or rules of thumb)
  - Can authors use within-app surveys to directly measure changes in financial literacy (or reliance on rules of thumb) due to exposure to robo?
  - Can authors use hypothetical portfolio allocation RCT to compare decisionmaking of those with and without exposure to robo?
- Why don't investors allocate more to robo? Are they trying to avoid paying fee on more of their assets?
- How stable are allocations to robo? Do they rise over time?

## Aside: Interesting Evidence of Customization

 BangNiTou portfolios tilt toward index funds (like Vanguard's PAS) but away from equity... suggesting investors SD portfolios hold too much market risk



#### Summary

- Paper asks an interesting and important question
- Exploits cool real-world data on a wider range of investors than traditionally have had access to robo-advice
- Provocative evidence that exposure to robo improves the performance of other assets managed on the app
- Will be a home run if the authors are able to shed more light on the mechanism and/or confirm changes in education in supplemental surveys and experiments